

# **Not At This Rate!**

Why Enhanced Rate Structures are Both Justified and Necessary for Hybrid Air Source Heat Pumps (ASHPs) in the Midwest

Ranal Tudawe – Research Engineer

## Agenda

- What are regional rates?
- Why do lower rates make sense for hybrid ASHPs?
- What do rates need to be?

### What Are Regional Rates?



### Rates are important to achieve electrification goals

- Developing and utilizing the right electric rates for ASHPs, particularly hybrid systems, will be essential to reach the full potential of the technology
- It is also important for equity
  - Avoid unintended consequences of increased energy burden on low-income populations
- More engagement is needed
  - Energy efficiency/electrification teams are often siloed from public utilities commission and utility rate making.
- Current rates will increase energy costs with heating electrification
  - High-volume electric heating customers overpay on rate components derived from fixed costs
  - Modeling shows dual fuel systems can produce grid benefits that justify special rates



### **Electric and Gas Prices**

Gas Prices (8.8–11.9 \$/MMBtu)



Electric Rates (28.4–49.8 \$/MMBtu)

Full electrification will likely result in bill increases for most customers.

Midwest S.F.

**Heating Fuel** 

### Why Do Special Rates Make Sense?



#### **Residential Rate Components**

- Rates seek to recover costs for variable and fixed costs to serve the customer
- Hybrid ASHPs do not increase (or only moderately increase) fixed costs on the system
- Only variable costs increase, compared to typical residential customer



- Transmission
- Distribution
- Capacity (kW)
- Customer billing

Cost components of residential electric rates

**Typical Customers** 



![](_page_6_Picture_13.jpeg)

#### **Residential Rate Components**

- Rates seek to recover costs for variable and fixed costs to serve the customer
- Hybrid ASHPs do not increase (or only moderately increase) fixed costs on the system

billing

 Only variable costs increase, compared to typical residential customer

![](_page_7_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### **Dual Fuel ASHPs Help the Grid By...**

#### **Reducing Summer Peaks**

• Increased cooling efficiency shaves summertime peak consumption

#### **Increasing Winter Consumption**

• Partially electrifying the heating season allows for increased electricity sales in off-peak season

#### Allowing for Wintertime Flexibility

• A dual fuel system offers peak shaving flexibility in a winter peaking scenario

![](_page_8_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **Energy Model**

- Hourly building energy model built in R
- 2,100 square foot single-family detached home constructed in the 1970s
- Compares dual fuel cold climate heat pump system to counterfactual 95% baseline furnace + SEER 14 central AC
- Heat pump operates above the switchover temperature to electrify at least 50% of the heating load
- The measure and baseline address the same heating and cooling loads

![](_page_9_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### **Grid Impacts**

- The load factor is calculated using peak summertime HVAC electricity use
- A higher load factor indicates that the peak hourly consumption is similar to the average hourly consumption throughout the year
- Increasing load factors mean that grid resources can be used more efficiently, especially if the peak stays the same or reduces
- Measure load factors increase to 200%–470% of the baseline value, with colder states seeing larger benefits.

Load Factor =  $\frac{Total \ kWh \ consumed \ per \ year}{Summer \ Peak \ kW \ \cdot 8760 \ hours \ per \ year}$ 

![](_page_10_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_7.jpeg)

# What Do Rates Need to Be?

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Rate Scenarios**

- EIA estimates for all-inclusive (fixed and volumetric) ¢/kWh and \$/therm.
- Most states require an average seasonal heating efficiency higher than the modeled ASHP can achieve at any temperature.
- Additional rate scenario at 70% of EIA rates to emulate a special dual fuel rate based on existing special rates
- Additional gas scenario uses 140% prices to adjust for more recent gas costs.

![](_page_12_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### **Special Rate Scenarios**

- Adjusting for more recent gas prices and 70% special electric rates, the seasonal COP required for cost parity is 50% lower.
- Required COP can be further decreased when considering other operational savings:
  - Cooling
  - Weatherization
  - Electric bill savings from other end uses

#### **Seasonal COP Needed for Cost Parity Using Special Rates**

![](_page_13_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### **Economic Outcomes**

- Most states see cost increases with current price estimates
- Colder states present a greater challenge compared to warmer climates due to both climate and energy prices
- They also present the greatest environmental benefit from electrifying large space heating loads
- Special rates are necessary to maintain or improve energy costs in these states
- Even a free heat pump can be unfeasible for customers with high energy burdens

Climate T	ype Typical HDDs
Colder	> 7,300
Moderate	6,100-7,300
Warmer	< 6,100

		100% Electric Price		70% Electric Price HVAC only		70% Electric Price Whole Home			
State	Climate	100% NG Price	140% NG Price	100% NG Price	140% NG Price	100% NG Price	140% NG Price		
MN	Colder	•	Đ	Ð	•	•	•		
ND	Colder	•	•	•					
IA	Moderate	•	•	•					
он	Warmer	•	•	•					
KS	Warmer	•							
<ul> <li>Significant Bill Increase</li> <li>Cost Parity</li> <li>Significant Bill Savings</li> </ul>									
Describe for all 42 states are suchable to average art									

Results for all 13 states are available in our report

![](_page_14_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### Rate Sensitivity – Colder Climate (Minneapolis, MN)

- High heating loads, colder temperatures, and unfavorable rates make this a challenging scenario that can cost customers hundreds per year
- High sensitivity means that cost parity may not be enough
- Special rates are both more crucial and easier to justify, given greater grid and environmental benefits

Annual Savings [\$] Sensitivity 0.19 (-900, -800] 2021 EIA (-800, -700] 0.17 (-700, -600]Estimates Electirc Rate [\$/kWh] (-600, -500] (-500, -400] (-400, -300](-300, -200](-200, -100](-100, 0] (0, 100](100, 200](200, 300]0.09 (300, 400](400, 500]70% Electric + (500, 600]0.07 · 140% Gas (600, 700](700, 800]0.05 + 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 0.6 1.8 Gas Price [\$/th]

Annual savings outcomes for all 13 states are in our report

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### How have rates changed since 2021?

- Regionally, utility bundled electric prices are up 11% as of data available from 2023, weighed between states by MWh sales. Gas prices are up 10% in 2023 vs 2021, or 15% in 2024 vs 2021.
- Electric rates rising at a similar rate to gas prices is unlikely to change outcomes at a regional level.
- Some states have changed much more than others. Referring to the contour plots is a quick way to see where economics stand for these systems with different rates.
- ASHP performance assumptions may be different now as new field data become available.

![](_page_16_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

# THANK YOU

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

rtudawe@mncee.org

![](_page_17_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Appendix

# • Model Inputs

#### Variables

**Electric Rates** 

Gas Rates

Location & Weather

#### Assumptions

Home Type

**Baseline System** 

Measure System

Heat Pump Use

Non-HVAC Electricity Use

![](_page_19_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_12.jpeg)

# Grid Impacts

- Warmer climates see sizeable peak shaving in cooling season, with electricity consumption rising in the heating season.
  - The new system does not approach the summer peak in heating season.
- **Colder climates** see smaller peak shaving in cooling season, with heating season consumption rising significantly.
  - Large heating loads and milder summers cause winter peak consumption to surpass current summer peaks.
- Dual fuel systems in winter peaking grid scenarios offer the added benefit of load shaving compared to all-electric options

#### But how does this affect customer economics?

![](_page_20_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_8.jpeg)

# 

- What do outcomes look like across a broader range of rates?
- How sensitive are these outcomes?

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

• Rate Sensitivity

- Where do opportunities lie outside the rate scenarios we've seen?
- What do outcomes look like across a broader range of rates?

Annual savings outcomes for all 13 states are in our report

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

Center for Energy and Environment

## **Rate Sensitivity – Colder Climate** (Minneapolis, MN)

- High heating loads, colder temperatures, and unfavorable rates make this a challenging scenario that can cost customers hundreds per year
- High sensitivity means that cost parity may not be enough
- Special rates are both more crucial and easier to justify, given greater grid and environmental benefits

Annual savings outcomes for MN

![](_page_23_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Rate Sensitivity – Moderate Climate (Des Moines, IA)

- Moderate temperatures and unfavorable rates significantly increase costs with EIA rate estimates
- Heating dominated climate produces a greater sensitivity to rates
- Special rates can be the difference maker in electrification program eligibility

![](_page_24_Figure_4.jpeg)

Center for Energy and Environment

## Rate Sensitivity – Warmer Climate (Wichita, KS)

- Warmer temperatures and favorable rate scenarios = higher savings
- Smaller heating load = low sensitivity
  - Savings outcomes don't change much with price fluctuation

![](_page_25_Figure_4.jpeg)

Center for Energy and Environment

# • Modeling Conclusions

- Electrification with these rates is not economic in most of the Midwest
- While electric space heating rates exist, they typically do not apply to dual fuel systems
- Lower electric rates for dual fuel ASHPs are justified and should be pursued
- Colder-climate regions present the greatest challenge, the most crucial need, and the greatest potential for environmental and grid benefits
- Utilities and regulators should investigate appropriate rate structures for ASHPs while considering economic implications for customers with unique needs

![](_page_26_Picture_6.jpeg)